

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

LIJUNG EDWARDS-YU,

Plaintiff,

v.

LOUIS DEJOY,

Defendant.

Case No. C21-156-RSM-MLP

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on Defendant's Motion to Stay the Pretrial Deadlines and Trial Setting ("Defendant's Motion") due to Defendant's pending summary judgment motion. (Dkt. # 48.) Plaintiff opposes any delay to pretrial deadlines without also delaying the trial date but argues she "has been preparing for trial for over [one] year and any further delay will deprive Plaintiff of potential relief demanded through the lawsuit." (Dkt. # 49 at 2.)

Courts have inherent power to stay proceedings. *Landis v. North American Co.*, 299 U.S. 248, 254 (1936). In considering the appropriateness of a stay, courts balance the competing interests of the parties, including: (1) the damage that may result from a stay; (2) inequity suffered by a party if the case moves forward; and (3) any impact to the "orderly course of justice." *Lockyer v. Mirant Corp.*, 398 F.3d 1098, 1110 (9th Cir. 2005) (citation omitted).

1 Here, trial is currently set for November 8, 2022. (Dkt. # 12.) The Court has issued a  
2 Report and Recommendation, with a noting date of October 21, 2022, recommending that  
3 Defendant's summary judgment motion be granted and that this action be dismissed. (Dkt. # 51.)  
4 Therefore, the Court finds a stay is warranted in this matter until the resolution of this Court's  
5 Report and Recommendation.

6 Accordingly, the Court GRANTS Defendant's Motion (dkt. # 48). The trial date and  
7 pending deadlines are hereby STAYED pending resolution of the Report and Recommendation.

8 Dated this 4th day of October, 2022.

9 

10 MICHELLE L. PETERSON  
11 United States Magistrate Judge  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23